1	TRINETTE G. KENT (State Bar No. 0251	80)
2	11811 North Tatum Blvd., Suite 3031	
3	Phoenix, AZ 85028	
4	Telephone: (480) 247-9644 Facsimile: (480) 717-4781	
5	E-mail: tkent@lemberglaw.com	
6	Of Counsel to	
7	Lemberg & Associates LLC A Connecticut Law Firm	
8	1100 Summer Street	
9	Stamford, CT 06905	
	Telephone: (203) 653-2250	
10	Facsimile: (203) 653-3424	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff,	
12	Emerson Kemp, Sr.	
13		
14		
15	IN THE LINITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
16	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
17	POR THE DISTR	ICT OF ARIZONA
18		
19	Emerson Kemp, Sr.,	Case No.:
20	Plaintiff,	
21	N/O	COMPLAINT
22	VS.	COMPLAINI
23	Collection Service Bureau, Inc.; and	
24	DOES 1-10, inclusive,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
25	Defendants.	
26		
27		
28		
-	1	

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Emerson Kemp, Sr., by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA") in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
- 2. Original and supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendants transact business here and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred here.

PARTIES

- 4. The Plaintiff, Emerson Kemp, Sr. (hereafter "Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Gilbert, Arizona, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. The Defendant, Collection Service Bureau, Inc. (hereafter "CSBI"), is an Arizona company with an address of 2901 North 78th Street, Scottsdale, Arizona 85251, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by CSBI and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
- 7. CSBI at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

- 8. The Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation in the approximate amount of \$5,000.00 (the "Debt") to an original creditor (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to CSBI for collection, orCSBI was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. CSBI Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 12. Within the last year, CSBI began contacting Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt by placing calls to Plaintiff's home telephone.
- 13. On numerous occasions, CSBI placed calls using an automated telephone dialer system with an artificial or prerecorded voice (hereafter "Robocalls").

- 14. During the initial conversation and during each conversation thereafter, Plaintiff explained to CSBI that he could not afford to satisfy the Debt.
- 15. On multiple occasions, Plaintiff asked CSBI to stop the calls and the Robocalls regarding the Debt and to refrain from calling until February of 2013.
- 16. Despite Plaintiff's requests, CSBI continued to hound Plaintiff with calls and Robocalls in its attempt to collect the Debt.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 17. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 18. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 19. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 20. The Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d.

- 21. The Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass, in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5).
- 22. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
- 23. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of the Defendants' violations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants:

- A. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against the Defendants;
- B. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C.\$1692k(a)(2)(A) against the Defendants;
- C. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) against the Defendants;
- D. Actual damages from the Defendants for all damages, including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations in an amount to be determined at trial; and E. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS DATED: December 11, 2012 LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES, LLC By: /s/ Trinette G. Kent Trinette G. Kent Attorney for Plaintiff Emerson Kemp, Sr.